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SYLLABUS

GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY

Subject Code: 10CVL67            IA Marks: 25
No. of Practical Hours/Week: 03   Exam Hours: 03
Total No. of Practical Hours: 42  Exam Marks: 50

1. Identification of gravel type, sand type, silt type and clay types soils; Tests for determination of Specific gravity (for coarse and fine grained soils) and Water content (Oven drying method).
2. Grain size analysis of soil sample (sieve analysis).
3. In situ density by core cutter and sand replacement methods.
4. Consistency Limits – Liquid Limit (Casagrande and Cone Penetration Methods), plastic limit and shrinkage limit.
6. Coefficient of permeability by constant head and variable head methods.
7. Strength Tests
   a. Unconfined Compression Test
   b. Direct Shear Test
   c. Triaxial Compression Test (undrained)
8. Consolidation Test- Determination of compression index and coefficient of consolidation.
9. Laboratory vane shear test
10. Determination of CBR value
11. a) Demonstration of miscellaneous equipments such as Augers, Samplers, Rapid Moisture meter, Proctor’s needle.
    b) Demonstration of Hydrometer Test.
    c) Demonstration of Free Swell Index and Swell Pressure Test
    d) Demonstration of determination of relative density of sands.
12. Preparing a consolidated report of index properties and strength properties of soil

REFERENCE BOOKS:

Course objectives:

This course will enable students to

1. Determine the type and properties of the soil.
2. Determine the compaction strength of the soil.
3. Determine the strength characteristics of the soil.
4. Determine the permeability of the soils.
5. Determine the amount of consolidation occurring the soil.

Course outcomes:

After a successful completion of the course, the students will be able to

1. Draw the particle size distribution curve and to find the particle size.
2. To find the MDD and OMC.
3. To find the CBR of the soil.
4. To find the SBC of the soil.
5. To find the consistency limits of the soil.
Do’s

1. Bring observation note books, lab manuals and other necessary things for the class.
2. Use tools for mixing concrete and water
3. Check the instruments for proper working conditions while taking and returning the same.
4. Thoroughly clean your laboratory work space at the end of the laboratory session.
5. Maintain silence and clean environment in the lab

Don’ts

1. Do not operate the machines without the permission of the staff
2. Do not put hands or head while equipment is in running condition.
3. Do not fix or remove the test specimen while the main is switch on.
4. Do not spill the concrete and aggregates on the floor.
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EXPERIMENT NO 1

DETERMINATION OF SPECIFIC GRAVITY OF SOIL SOLIDS BY PYCNOMETER METHOD

Aim:

To determine the specific gravity of soil solids by Pycnometer bottle method.

Specific gravity is the ratio of the mass of unit volume of soil at a stated temperature to the mass of the same volume of gas-free distilled water at a stated temperature.

Specification:

This test is specified in IS: 2720 (Part 4) – 1985. A soil’s specific gravity largely depends on the density of the minerals making up the individual soil particles. However, as a general guide, some typical values for specific soil types are as follows:

- The specific gravity of the solid substance of most inorganic soils varies between 2.60 and 2.80.
- Tropical iron-rich laterite, as well as some lateritic soils, usually have a specific gravity of between 2.75 and 3.0 but could be higher.
- Sand particles composed of quartz have a specific gravity ranging from 2.65 to 2.67.
- Inorganic clays generally range from 2.70 to 2.80.
- Soils with large amounts of organic matter or porous particles (such as diatomaceous earth) have specific gravities below 2.60. Some range as low as 2.00.

Equipments Required:

a) Pycnometer of about 1 litre capacity

b) Balance accurate to 1 g, glass rod, de-aired distilled water etc.

Theory:

Specific gravity of soil solids is defined as the weight of soil solids to weight of equal volume of water. In effect, it tells how much heavier (or lighter) the material is than water. This test method covers the determination of the specific gravity of soil solids that pass 4.75 mm sieve.
Equation for specific gravity, $G$:

$$G = \frac{(W_2 - W_1)}{(W_2 - W_1) - (W_3 - W_4)}$$

Where,
- $W_1$ = weight of Pycnometer in grams.
- $W_2$ = weight of Pycnometer + dry soil in grams.
- $W_3$ = weight of Pycnometer + soil + water grams.
- $W_4$ = weight of Pycnometer + water grams.

**Note**: This method is recommended for coarse and fine grained soils

**Precautions**:

- Soil grains whose specific gravity is to be determined should be completely dry.
- If on drying soil lumps are formed, they should be broken to its original size.
- Inaccuracies in weighing and failure to completely eliminate the entrapped air are the main sources of error. Both should be avoided.
- While cleaning the glass jar, please be careful as there may be glass grains projecting out and it may tear the skin.
- Make sure, you handle the glass jar and conical cap without falling on your legs or floor. Hence, handle the equipment with care.

**Procedure**:

a) Clean and dry the pycnometer and weigh it along with the conical cap ($W_1$ in gm).
b) Select about 300 gm of dry soil free of clods and put the same into the pycnometre. Weigh it ($W_2$ in g) with cap and washer.
c) Fill the pycnometer with de-aired water up-to half its height and stir the mix with a glass rod. Add more water and stir it. Fit the screw cap and fill the pycnometer flush with the hole in the conical cap and take the weight ($W_3$ in g).
d) Remove all the contents from the pycnometer, clean it thoroughly and fill it with distilled water. Weigh it ($W_4$ in g).
e) Now use the above equation for determining $G$.
f) Repeat the same process for additional tests.

**Pre-Viva Questions**:

1. Why we need to compute the specific gravity of soil?
2. What is the unit of specific gravity?
3. What is specific gravity of water?
4. Explain the steps required to determine specific gravity by Pycnometer method.
5. What are the calculations required in computing specific gravity by Pycnometer method?

Table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl No</th>
<th>Particulars</th>
<th>Test No 1 (G1)</th>
<th>Test No 2 (G2)</th>
<th>Test No 3 (G3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Weight of Pycnometer bottle (W₁), g</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Weight of Pycnometer + dry soil (W₂), g</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Weight of Pycnometer + soil + water, (W₃), g</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Weight of Pycnometer + water (W₄), g</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Calculation of specific gravity, G</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Average G = (G₁+G₂+G₃)/3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Specimen calculations:

\[ G = \frac{(W₂-W₁)}{(W₂-W₁)-(W₃-W₄)} \]

Result:

AVERAGE G =

Verification/ Validation:

The value of specific gravity for the existing soil falls in the range 2.6 to 2.75. If there is any variation, check under the 'specification' provided and re-do the experiment to get accurate results.

Conclusion:

The experiment is conducted as per the procedure laid down. The specific gravity of soil solids obtained is ______. This value falls in the range 2.6 to 2.75. Hence the type of soil is _______.

Post - Viva Questions:

1. What are the standard values of specific gravity?

2. Do we need to conduct this test? Why?
3. What is your inference on the result obtained?

4. Can we use this method for all types of soils?

5. Write phase diagrams for a) Dry soil b) Saturated soil.
DETERMINATION OF SPECIFIC GRAVITY OF SOIL SOLIDS BY DENSITY BOTTLE METHOD

Aim:

To determine the specific gravity of soil solids by Density bottle method.

Specific gravity G is defined as the ratio of the weight of an equal volume of distilled water at that temperature, both weights taken in air.

Specification:

IS 2720 (Part III) – 1980 is the standard recommended to determine specific gravity of fine grained soils. The value ranges are same as the previous experiment. The average of the values obtained shall be taken as the specific gravity of the soil particles and shall be reported to the nearest 0.01 precision. If the two results differ by more than 0.03 the tests shall be repeated.

Equipments Required:

1. 50 ml capacity density bottle with stopper
2. A constant temperature water bath (27 °C)
3. Oven with a range of 105 to 110 °C
4. Vacuum desiccators
5. Vacuum pump
6. Other accessories, such as, weighing balance accurate to 0.001 g, trays, wooden mallet, etc.

Theory:

Specific gravity of soil solids is defined as the weight of soil solids to weight of equal volume of water.

Equation for specific gravity, G:

\[ G = \frac{(W_2-W_1)}{(W_2-W_1)-(W_3-W_4)} \]
Where, \( W_1 \) = weight of empty bottle \\
\( W_2 \) = weight of bottle + dry soil \\
\( W_3 \) = weight of bottle + soil + water \\
\( W_4 \) = weight of bottle + water

**Note:** This method is normally used for fine-grained soils. The method may also be used for medium and coarse grained soils, if the coarse particles are grained to pass 4.75-mm IS sieve before using.

**Precautions:**

- Soil grains whose specific gravity is to be determined should be completely dry.
- If on drying soil lumps are formed, they should be broken to its original size.
- Inaccuracies in weighing and failure to completely eliminate the entrapped air are the main sources of error. Both should be avoided.
- While cleaning the density bottle, please be careful as there may be glass grains projecting out and it may tear the skin.
- Make sure, you handle the density bottle without falling on your legs or floor. Hence, handle the equipment with care.

**Procedure:**

a) Clean and dry the density bottle and weigh it along with the stopper (\( W_1 \) in g).

b) Select about 25 gm of dry soil free of clods and put the same into the density bottle. Weigh it with brass cap and washer (\( W_2 \) in g).

c) Fill the density bottle with de-aired water upto half its height and stir the mix with a glass rod. Add more water and stir it. Place the stopper on top and take the weight (\( W_3 \) in g).

d) Remove all the contents from the density bottle, clean it thoroughly and fill it with distilled water. Weigh it (\( W_4 \)).

e) Use above equation for determining \( G \).

f) Repeat the same process for additional tests.

**Pre-Viva Questions:**

1. Which method is accurate in determining specific gravity of soil solids?
2. What are the steps involved in determining specific gravity using density bottle method?
3. How much grams of soil need to be taken for the test?
4. What is the specification required for the test?
5. What is the equation to determine the specific gravity?
Table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2: Weights of density bottle</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SI No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Specimen calculations:
\[ G = \frac{(W_2-W_1)}{(W_2-W_1)-(W_3-W_4)} \]

Result:
AVERAGE \(G=\)

Verification/ Validation:
The value of specific gravity for the existing soil falls in the range 2.6 to 2.75. If there is any variation, check under the 'specification' provided and re-do the experiment to get accurate results.

Conclusion:
The experiment is conducted as per the procedure laid down. The specific gravity of soil solids = __________. The value falls in the range 2.6 to 2.75. The type of soil is ____ as per the specification.

Post- Viva Questions:
1. Can we use kerosene instead of water to determine specific gravity of soil?
2. Could we do classification of soil based on the specific gravity of soil?
3. Could there be entrapped air in the soil sample?
4. What are the various sources of error in this experiment, if any?
5. What are the applications in geotechnical engineering where the specific gravity is used?
EXPERIMENT NO 3

DATE: ____________

DETERMINATION OF WATER CONTENT OF SOIL SOLIDS BY OVEN DRYING METHOD

Aim:

To determine the water content of soil solids by Oven Drying method.

The water content (w) of a soil sample is equal to the mass of water divided by the mass of solids.

Specifications:

This test is done as per IS: 2720 (Part II) – 1973. The soil specimen should be representative of the soil mass. The quantity of the specimen taken would depend upon the gradation and the maximum size of the particles. For more than 90% of the particles passing through 425 micron IS sieve, the minimum quantity is 25g.

Equipments Required:

a) Non-corrodible airtight containers.
b) Balance weighting to accuracy of 0.04% of the weight of the soil taken for test.
c) Desiccators with suitable desiccating agent.
d) Thermostatically controlled oven to maintain temperature 110 °C ± 5 °C.
e) Other accessories.

Theory:

In almost all soil tests natural moisture content of the soil is to be determined. The knowledge of the natural moisture content is essential in all studies of soil mechanics. To sight a few, natural moisture content is used in determining the bearing capacity and settlement. The natural moisture content will give an idea of the state of soil in the field. Water content, w of a soil mass is defined as the ratio of mass of water in the voids to the mass of solids:

\[ w = \frac{(W_2 - W_3)}{(W_3 - W_1)} \times 100\% \]

Where, 
\n\begin{align*}
W_1 & = \text{Weight of empty container in grams} \\
W_2 & = \text{Weight of container + wet soil in grams} \\
W_3 & = \text{Weight of container + dry soil in grams}
\end{align*} \]
Precautions:

- Ensure that soil samples are between 350 to 400g. Larger samples take too long to dry, while smaller samples lead to inaccurate results.
- Ensure that the oven temperature is maintained at 110º C ± 5º C. Do not allow the oven door to stay open for too long, as it takes a while for the oven to regain the drying temperature.
- Do not put moist samples in the oven on a shelf below dry samples. Moist samples should be placed on the top shelf and all partially dried samples placed on the lower shelf.
- Do not over-load the oven, as this will create a much longer drying time.
- Do not allow dried samples to pick up moisture after they are removed from the oven. Weigh them immediately after drying.
- Soils and aggregates may contain bacteria and/or organisms which can be harmful for one's health. Wearing dust masks and protective gloves when handling materials is advised. The use of heat resistant gloves/mitts or pot holders to remove samples from the ovens is recommended.
- Prior to handling oven, testing or disposing of any waste materials, students are required to read do's and don'ts of the Geotechnical engineering laboratory.

Procedure:

a) Clean the container with lid and find the mass (W1 in g).
b) Select the required quantity of moist soil sample, place it in the container, place the lid on it, and weigh it (W2 in g).
c) Keep the container in the oven with lid removed and dry it for at least 24 hr. at a temperature of 110 ºC till the mass remains constant.
d) Remove the container from the oven, replace the lid, and cool it in desiccators. Find the mass (W3 in g).
e) Determine the water constant w by using the above equation.
f) Repeat the experiment with other test samples

Pre-viva Questions:

1. Water content is also called?
2. Which method is mostly used to determine the water content in field?
3. What is water content for clay soil?
4. On which factor water content is depended?
5. Ground Penetrating Radar (GPR) method is also used for measuring water content (True or False?)
6. Name different types of soil textures?
7. The percentage of water remaining in an air-dry soil is called ________________.

Table:
Table 3: Weights of container

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SI No</th>
<th>Particulars</th>
<th>Test No1 (w1)</th>
<th>Test No2 (w2)</th>
<th>Test No3 (w3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Weight of empty container (W1), g</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Weight of container + wet soil (W2), g</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Weight of container + dry soil (W3), g</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Water content, w</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Average water content, w = (w1+ w2 + w3)/3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Specimen calculations:

\[ w = \frac{(W2-W3)}{(W3-W1)} \times 100 \% \]

Result:

AVERAGE w =

Verification/ Validation:

Soil mass is generally a three phase system. It consists of solid particles, liquid and gas. The phase system may be expressed in SI units either in terms of mass-volume or weight volume relationships. Water content value is 0% for dry soil and its magnitude can exceed 100%.

Conclusion:

The experiment is conducted as per the procedure laid down. The water content of the soil sample is determined. Water content, \( w = \) ____%. The value is verified and the three phase system is sketched.

Post-Viva Questions

1. Draw the schematic diagram of the three phase system based on the result.
2. Is there a possibility of the soil getting burnt? In that case, what will be effect on the water content value?
3. How does air-dry soil differ from oven-dry soil?
4. Is this method the most direct method to compute the water content of soil?
5. To get accurate result, how much gram of soil have you taken to conduct the test?
Determination of Water Content of Soil Solids by Pycnometer Method

Aim:

To determine the water content of soil solids by Pycnometer bottle Method.

To determine water content by this method, the value of G should have been determined prior.

Specifications:

This test is done as per IS: 2720 (Part II) – 1973. This method is suitable for coarse grained soils from which the entrapped air can be easily removed.

Equipment Required:

1. Pycnometer of 1000 ml capacity with a brass conical cap.
2. Balance accurate to 1 g.
3. Glass rod other accessories.

Theory:

A Pycnometer is a glass jar of about 1 liter capacity, fitted with a brass conical cap by means of a screw type cover. The cap has a small hole of about 6mm diameter at its apex. For many soils, the water content may be an extremely important index used for establishing the relationship between the way a soil behaves and its properties. The consistency of a fine-grained soil largely depends on its water content. The water content is also used in expressing the phase relationships of air, water, and solids in a given volume of soil.

Water content, \( w \) of a soil mass is defined as the ratio of mass of water in the voids to the mass of solids:

\[
W\% = \left(\frac{(W2-W1)}{(W3-W4)}\right) \times \left(\frac{(G-1)}{G} - 1\right) \times 100
\]

Where,

\( W1 = \) Weight of empty pycnometer in grams
\( W2 = \) Weight of pycnometer + wet soil in grams
\( W3 = \) Weight of pycnometer + dry soil in grams
\( W4 = \) Weight of pycnometer + water in grams
**Procedure:**

a) Clean and dry the pycnometer and weigh it (W₁ in g).
b) Select a mass of wet soil of about 300 gm and place the same in pycnometer and weigh it (W₂ in g).
c) Fill the pycnometer with distilled water up-to half its height and stir the mix with a glass rod. Keep on adding more water till the mix is flush with the hole in the conical cap. Dry the pycnometer outside and find the mass (W₃ in g).
d) Remove the contents of PM and clean it. Fill with clean water up-to the top level of the hole in the cap weigh it (W₄ in g).

e) Now use the above equation for determining water content, where, G value is taken from Experiment No 1 (Determination of specific gravity by pycnometer method) for the given soil.

**Pre-Viva Questions:**

1. A pycnometer is used to determine?
2. Other than pycnometer, is there any other method to determine water content?
3. Which method is more accurate to determine water content, oven drying method or pycnometer method?
4. If there are lots of clods in the soil, what would happen to the result? Is it advisable to have lots of organic matter in the soil?
5. Explain the procedure to determine the water content using pycnometer?

**Table:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SL No</th>
<th>Particulars</th>
<th>Test No1 (w₁)</th>
<th>Test No2 (w₂)</th>
<th>Test No3 (w₃)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Weight of empty pycnometer (W₁), g</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Weight of pycnometer + wet soil (W₂), g</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Weight of pycnometer + soil + water (W₃), g</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Weight of pycnometer + water (W₄), g</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Water content, w</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Average water content, Avg w</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Specimen calculations:**

\[ w\% = \left[ \left( \frac{W₂-W₁}{W₃-W₄} \right) \times \left( \frac{G-1}{G} \right) - 1 \right] \times 100 \]

Average water content, \( w = \frac{w₁+w₂+w₃}{3} \)
Result:

Average water content, \( w = \) _____%

Verification/ Validation:

Soil mass is generally a three phase system. It consists of solid particles, liquid and gas. The phase system may be expressed in SI units either in terms of mass-volume or weight volume relationships. Water content value is 0% for dry soil and its magnitude can exceed 100%.

Conclusion:

Pycnometer method is a simple method to determine the water content of a soil. Experiment is carried out using the soil specimen collected from the college itself. All foreign matters are removed, clods broken and water content we got for the soil specimen is ________.

Comparing with the oven drying method, the value is ________.

Post-Viva Questions:

1. Draw the schematic diagram of the three phase system based on the result.
2. Is there a possibility of error by this method? In that case, what need to be done?
3. Which method gives the water content value rapidly, pycnometer method or oven drying method?
EXPERIMENT NO 5

DETERMINATION OF IN-SITU DENSITY BY CORE CUTTER METHOD

Aim:

To determine the field density or unit weight of soil by Core cutter method.

Field density is used in calculating the stress in the soil due to its overburden pressure. It is needed in estimating the bearing capacity of soil foundation system, settlement of footing, earth pressures behind the retaining walls and embankments. Stability of natural slopes, dams, embankments and cuts is checked with the help of density of soil. It is the density that controls the field compaction of soils. Permeability of soils depends upon its density. Relative density of cohesionless soils is determined by knowing the dry density of soil in natural, loosest and densest states. Void ratio, porosity and degree of saturation need the help of density of soil.

Specifications:

This test is done to determine the in-situ dry density of soil by core cutter method as per IS-2720-Part-29 (1975). Core cutter method in particular, is suitable for soft to medium cohesive soils, in which the cutter can be driven. It is not possible to drive the cutter into hard and boulder soils.

Equipments Required:

1) Cylindrical core cutter, 100mm internal diameter and 130mm long.
2) Steel dolley, 25mm high and 100mm internal diameter.
3) Steel rammer mass 9kg, overall length with the foot and staff about 900mm.
4) Balance, with an accuracy of 1g.
5) Palette knife, Straight edge, steel rule etc.
6) Square metal tray – 300mm x 300mm x 40mm.
7) Trowel.

Theory:

Field density is defined as weight per unit volume of soil mass in the field at in-situ conditions. In the spot adjacent to that where the field density by sand replacement method has been determined or planned, drive the core cutter using the dolly over the core cutter. Stop ramming when the dolly is just proud of the surface. Dig out the cutter
containing the soil out of the ground and trim off any solid extruding from its ends, so that the cutter contains a volume of soil equal to its internal volume which is determined from the dimensions of the cutter. The weight of the contained soil is found and its moisture content determined.

Equations are:

\[ \rho_d = \frac{\rho_t}{1+w} \text{ gm/cm}^3 \]

OR

\[ \gamma_d = \frac{\gamma_t}{1+w} \text{ kN/m}^3 \]

Where,

- \( \rho_d \) = dry density in g/cm\(^3\),
- \( \gamma_d \) = dry unit weight in g/cm\(^3\),
- \( \rho_t \) = field moist density in g/cm\(^3\),
- \( \gamma_t \) = field moist unit weight in  g/cm\(^3\),
- \( w \) = water content %/100,
- \( \gamma_w = \text{unit weight of water } = 9.81 \text{ kN/m}^3 \)

Precautions:

1. Core cutter method of determining the field density of soil is only suitable for fine grained soil (Silts and clay). That is, core cutter should not be used for gravels, boulders or any hard surface. This is because collection of undisturbed soil sample from a coarse grained soil is difficult and hence the field properties, including unit weight, cannot be maintained in a core sample.

2. Core cutter should be driven into the ground till the steel dolly penetrates into the ground half way only so as to avoid compaction of the soil in the core.

3. Before lifting the core cutter, soil around the cutter should be removed to minimize the disturbances.

4. While lifting the cutter, no soil should drop down.

Procedure:

a) Measure the height and internal diameter of the core cutter to the nearest 0.25 mm.

b) Calculate the internal volume of the core-cutter \( V_c \) in cm\(^3\).

c) Determine the weight of the clean cutter accurate to 1 g (\( W_1 \) in g).

d) Select the area in the field where the density is required to be found out. Clean and level the ground where the density is to be determined.

e) Place the dolley over the top of the core cutter and press the core cutter into the soil mass using the rammer. Stop the pressing when about 15mm of the dolley protrudes above the soil surface.
f) Remove the soil surrounding the core cutter by digging using spade, up to the bottom level of the cutter. Lift up the cutter and remove the dolley and trim both sides of the cutter with knife and straight edge.

g) Clean the outside surface of the cutter and determine mass of the cutter with the soil (W2 in g).

h) Remove the soil core from the cutter and take the representative sample in the water content containers to determine the moisture content.

i) The field test may be repeated at other places if required.

j) The water content of sample collected is determined in the laboratory as per Experiment no 3 (Determination of water content of soil solids by Oven Drying Method).

k) Use the above equation, given the theory section, for determining density of soil ($\gamma_a$ OR $\rho_d$).

**Pre-Viva Questions:**

1. Out of wet density, dry density, and saturated density, which one of them is maximum and minimum? Explain.
2. What are the main factors which affect in-situ density of soil? Explain.
3. Beside the density, what other properties do you need to calculate the void ratio and degree of saturation of soils?
4. What are the other methods to calculate the field density of soil?
5. Which is the most accurate method to determine the field density?

**Observations:**

Length of core cutter $l=$-----------------cm

Diameter of core cutter $d=$------------cm

Volume of core cutter $V_c=$-----------------cm
Table:

**Table 5: Weights of core cutter**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl.No.</th>
<th>Particulars</th>
<th>Test nos.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1 (\rho d_1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Weight of empty cutter ((W_1)), gms</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Weight of cutter + wet soil ((W_2)), gms</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Volume of core cutter ((V_c)) cm³</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Weight ass of empty container ((W_3)), gms</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Weight of container + wet soil ((W_4)), gms</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Weight of container + dry soil ((W_5)), gms</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Water content ((w))=(W_4-W_5)/(W_5-W_3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>Field moist density (\rho_t) (kN/m³) = ((W_2-W_1)/V_c)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>Dry density (\rho_d) (kN/m³) = (\rho_t/(1+w))</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>Average density, Avg (\rho_d)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Specimen calculations:**

Avg \(\rho_d = (\rho d_1 + \rho d_2 + \rho d_3)/3\)

**Result:**

Average in-situ field dry density: = ____________

**Verification/ Validation:**

The dry density of most soils varies within the range of 1.1-1.6 g/cm³. In sandy soils, dry density can be as high as 1.6 g/cm³; in clayey soils and aggregated loams, it can be as low as 1.1 g/cm³.

**Conclusion:**

The value of dry density of the soil is ____. The type of soil is ___.

**Post-Viva Questions:**

1. Can we use core cutter method on stony or non-cohesive soils?
2. Which method is more accurate, sand replacement method or core cutter method?

3. What is the practical application of the current test?

4. Do we get undisturbed sample using core cutter?

5. Why is field density required?

6. Out of wet density, dry density, and saturated density, which one of them is maximum and minimum? Explain
EXPERIMENT NO 6

DATE: ________

DETERMINATION OF IN-SITU DENSITY BY SAND REPLACEMENT METHOD

Aim:

To determine in-situ density of natural or compacted soil using Sand replacement method.

The in-situ density of natural soil is needed for the determination of bearing capacity of soils, for the purpose of stability analysis of slopes, for the determination of pressures on underlying strata for the calculation of settlement and the design of underground structures. Moreover, dry density values are relevant both of embankment design as well as pavement design.

Specifications:

This test is done to determine the in-situ dry density of soil by core cutter method as per IS-2720-Part-28 (1975). In order to conduct the test, select uniformly graded clean sand passing through 600 micron IS sieve and retained on 300 micron IS sieve.

Equipments Required:

a) Sand pouring cylinder of about 3 litre capacity (Small pouring cylinder as per IS 2720 Part 28)
b) Cylindrical calibrating container 10 cm internal diameter and 15 cm depth
c) Glass plate, trays, containers for determining water content
d) Tools for making of a hole of 10 cm diameter and 15 cm deep, knife and other accessories
e) Metal container to collect excavated soil
f) Metal tray, 300mm square and 40mm deep with a hole of 100mm in diameter at the centre
g) Weighing balance
h) Moisture content cans
i) Glass plate about 450 mm/600 mm square and 10mm thick
j) Oven
k) Dessicator

Theory:
By conducting this test, it is possible to determine the field density of the soil. The moisture content is likely to vary from time and hence the field density also. So it is required to report the test result in terms of dry density. In sand replacement method, a small cylindrical pit is excavated and the weight of the soil excavated from the pit is measured. Sand whose density is known is filled into the pit. By measuring the weight of sand required to fill the pit and knowing its density, the volume of pit is calculated. Knowing the weight of soil excavated from the pit and the volume of pit, the density of soil is calculated. Therefore, in this experiment there are two stages, namely

1. Calibration of sand density
2. Measurement of soil density

Field density is defined as weight per unit volume of soil mass in the field at in-situ conditions. Equations are:

\[
\rho_d = \frac{\rho_t}{1+w} \text{ gm/cm}^3
\]

OR

\[
\gamma_d = \frac{\gamma_t}{1+w} \text{ kN/m}^3
\]

Where, \(\rho_d\) = dry density,
\(\gamma_d\) = dry unit weight,
\(\rho_t\) = field moist density,
\(\gamma_t\) = field moist unit weight,
\(w\) = water constant,
\(\gamma_w\) = unit weight of water = 9.81 kN/m³

The basic equations in determination of density using sand replacement method are:

\[
V_h = \frac{W_s}{G \times \rho_w}
\]

\[
\rho_t = \frac{M}{V_h}
\]

\[
\rho_d = \frac{\rho_t}{1+w}
\]

Where, \(V_h\) = Volume of hole made in the field.
\(W_s\) = weight of the sand that fills the hole.
\(W\) = weight of moist soil removed from the hole.
\(w\) = moisture content of soil removed from the hole.
\(\rho_t\) = moist soil in-situ density.
\(\rho_d\) = dry density of the soil.
\(G\) = specific gravity of the solids.
\(\rho_w\) = density of the water.
Precautions:

- If for any reason it is necessary to excavate the pit to a depth other than 12 cm, the standard calibrating can should be replaced by one with an internal height same as the depth of pit to be made in the ground.
- Care should be taken in excavating the pit, so that it is not enlarged by levering, as this will result in lower density being recorded.
- No loose material should be left in the pit.
- There should be no vibrations during this test.
- It should not be forgotten to remove the tray, before placing the SPC over the pit.

Procedures:

Stage 1 – Determination of mass of sand that fills the cone

a) Measure the internal dimensions (diameter, d and height, h) of the calibrating can and compute its internal volume, \( V_c = \pi d^2 h / 4 \)

b) With the valve closed, fill the cylinder with sand
   Weight of sand filled in the cylinder + cylinder \( W' = \ldots \) gms.

c) Keep the cylinder on a glass plate, which is kept on a horizontal surface.

d) Open the valve and allow the sand to fill the cone completely. Close the valve.
   Weight of sand in the cylinder + cylinder \( W'' = \ldots \) gms

e) Determine the mass of the sand left in the cylinder.
   Weight of sand fills the conical portion = \( W_1 = W' - W'' \)

f) The difference between the mass of sand taken prior to opening of the valve and the weight of sand left in the cylinder after opening the valve gives the weight of sand that fills the cone. Let the mass be \( W_1 \).

Determination of bulk density of sand

a) Measure the internal dimensions of the calibrating container and find its volume.
   Length of calibrating container \( l = \ldots \) cm

   Diameter of calibrating container \( d = \ldots \) cm

   Volume of calibrating container \( V_c = \ldots \) cm\(^3\)

   Let this volume be \( V_c \).

b) Place the pouring cylinder concentrically on the top of the calibrating container with the valve closed. Fill the cylinder with sand up to about 1 cm below the top.
   Weight of cylinder \( W_1 = \ldots \) g
Weight of cylinder + sand in the cylinder \( W_2 = \ldots \) g

Weight of sand filled in the cylinder \( W_0 = W_2 - W_1 = \ldots \) g

Let the weight of sand filled be \( W_0 \).

c) Open the valve of the cylinder and allow the sand to flow into the container. When no further movement of sand is seen, close the valve. Find the weight of the sand left in the cylinder.

Weight of cylinder + sand after filling the calibrating container \( W_3 = \ldots \) gms.

Determine the weight of sand that fills the calibrating container \( W_c = W_2 - W_3 = \ldots \)

d) The bulk density of sand \( \rho_s \) is

\[
\rho_s = \frac{W_c}{V_c}
\]

**Stage 2 - Determination of in-situ density:**

a) Level the area where the density is required.

b) Place the metal plate on the surface, which is having a circular hole of about 10 cm diameter at the centre. Dig a hole of this diameter up to about 15 cm depth collect all the excavated soil in a container.

Let the weight of the soil removed = \( W_2 = \ldots \) g

c) Remove the plate and place the sand-pouring cylinder concentrically on the hole. Fill the cylinder with sand up to a constant level mark with the shutter valve closed. Open the valve and allow the sand to run into the hole till no movement of the sand is noticed. Close the valve and determine the mass of sand that is left in the cylinder.

Weight of cylinder + sand after filling the hole completely \( W_4 = \ldots \) g

Weight of sand filling only the hole in the field \( W_s = W_2 - W_4 - W_c = \ldots \) g

Volume of the hole, \( V_h = \frac{W_s}{\rho_s} \), where \( \rho_s \) = bulk density of sand.

d) Bulk density of soil in-situ, \( \rho_t \), is

\[
\rho_t = \frac{W}{V_h} = \left( \frac{W}{W_s} \right) \times \rho_s
\]

**Determination of Water content of soil collected from the hole:**

Weight of empty container \( W_5 = \ldots \) g
Weight of container + wet soil \( W_6 \) =----- g

Weight of container + dry soil \( W_7 \) =----- g

Water content \( w \) = \( \frac{(W_6-W_7)}{(W_7-W_5)} \)

Dry density of sand \( \rho_d \) = \( \frac{\rho_t}{(1+w)} \)=-------g/cm³

**Pre-Viva Questions:**

1) What is the objective of sand replacement method?
2) What is the relationship that can be established between the dry density with known moisture content?
3) What are the apparatus that are needed in this test?
4) What is the significance of determining the in-situ density of the soil?
5) Depth of hole is kept to 15 cm in the field. Why?

**Result:**

1) Weight of wet soil from the hole, \( W = _____ \) gm
2) Water content of the soil, \( w = ___ \) %
3) Weight of sand that fills the hole = _____ gm
4) Volume of the hole \( V_h = W_s/ \rho_s = _____ \) cm³
5) Bulk density of the soil \( \rho_t = W/ V_h = _____ \) gm/ cm³
6) Dry density \( \rho_d = \rho_t/(1+w) = _____ \) gm/ cm³
   
   a) Dry density of soil = __________g/cm³
   b) Water content of the soil = __________% 

**Verification and Validations:**

Sand replacement method is an indirect method of finding the density of soil. The basic principle is to measure the in-situ volume of hole from which the material was excavated from the weight of sand with known density filling in the hole. The in-situ density of material is given by the weight of the excavated material divided by the in-situ volume. The dry density of most soils varies within the range of 1.1-1.6 g/cm³. In sandy
soils, dry density can be as high as 1.6 g/cm$^3$; in clayey soils and aggregated loams, it can be as low as 1.1 g/cm$^3$.

**Conclusion:**

The dry density of the soil is ________g/cc. Comparing with the in-situ density by core cutter method, more or less the same value is achieved. The type of soil is silty-clay.

**Post-Viva Questions:**

1. Why we need to determine the unit weight of sand to determine the unit weight of soil?

2. Which method is the accurate one, core cutter or sand replacement method as per you? And why?

3. How many samples are to be collected and why?

4. What is the advantage of sand replacement method over core cutter method?

5. What is the practical application of the test?
DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGG.

EXPERIMENT NO 7

DATE: __________

DETERMINATION OF PARTICLE SIZE DISTRIBUTION BY SIEVING (GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS)

Aim:

To determine the particle size distribution by sieving (Grain size analysis) and to determine the fineness modulus, effective size and uniformity coefficient.

This test is performed to determine the percentage of different grain sizes contained within a soil. The mechanical or sieve analysis is performed to determine the distribution of the coarser, larger-sized particles. The distribution of different grain sizes affects the engineering properties of soil. Grain size analysis provides the grain size distribution, and it is required in classifying the soil.

Specifications:

This test is specified in IS: 2720 (Part 4) – 1985 – Method of test for soil (Part 4 - Grain size analysis).

Equipments Required:

a) Sieves of sizes: 4.75 mm, 2.0 mm, 1.0 mm, 600μ, 300μ, 150μ and 75μ. That is, I.S 460-1962 is used. The sieves for soil tests: 4.75 mm to 75 microns.
b) Thermostatically controlled oven.
c) Trays, sieve brushes, mortar with a rubber covered pestle, etc.
d) Mechanical sieve shaker etc.

Theory:

The grain size analysis is widely used in classification of soils. The data obtained from grain size distribution curves is used in the design of filters for earth dams and to determine suitability of soil for road construction, air field etc. Information obtained from grain size analysis can be used to predict soil water movement although permeability tests are generally used. The method is applicable to dry soil passing through 4.75 mm size sieve less than 10 % passing through 75-micron sieve.

Percentage retained on any sieve = \((\text{weight of soil retained / total weight}) \times 100\)
Cumulative percentage retained on all coarser sieves = sum of percentages retained on any sieve
Percentage finer than any sieve  = 100 percent minus cumulative Size, N percentage retained.

Precautions:

- Clean the sieves set so that no soil particles were struck in them
- While weighing put the sieve with soil sample on the balance in a concentric position.
- Check the electric connection of the sieve shaker before conducting the test.
- No particle of soil sample shall be pushed through the sieves.

Procedures:

a) Take a representative sample of soil received from the field and dry it in the oven.

b) Use a known mass of dried soil with all the grains properly separated out. The maximum mass of soil taken for analysis may not exceed 500 g.

c) Prepare a stack of sieves. Set the sieves one over the other with an ascending order (sieves having larger opening sizes i.e., lower numbers are placed above the one with smaller opening sizes i.e., smaller numbers). The very last sieve is #200 (75 μ sieve). A pan is attached to the lowest 75 μ sieve to collect the portions passing #200 sieve and fit the nest to a mechanical shaker.

d) Make sure sieves are clean. If many soil particles are stuck in the openings try to poke them out using brush.

e) The whole nest of sieves is given a horizontal shaking for 10 min in sieve shaker till the soil retained on each reaches a constant value.

f) Determine mass of soil retained on each sieve including that collected in the pan below.
Table:

The test results obtained from a sample of soil are given below.
Mass of soil taken for analysis \( W = \) ___ gm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl No.</th>
<th>IS Sieves (mm)</th>
<th>Particle Size (mm)</th>
<th>Mass Retained (gm)</th>
<th>Corrected Mass Retained (gm)</th>
<th>Cumulative Mass Retained (gm)</th>
<th>Cumulative % Retained</th>
<th>% Finer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.75</td>
<td>4.75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.600</td>
<td>0.600</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.300</td>
<td>0.300</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.150</td>
<td>0.150</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>pan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cumulative Mass retained \( W' = \) ---------------gms
Graph (Grain Size in mm Vs Percentage Finer in %):

Draw graph of log sieve size vs % finer. The graph is known as grading curve. Corresponding to 10%, 30% and 60% finer, obtain diameters from graph these are D10, D30, D60, using these obtain Cc and Cu which further represent how well the soil is graded i.e whether the soil is well-graded, gap-graded or poorly graded.

**Graph1-Grain Size in mm Vs Percentage Finer in %**

![Graph](image)

Pre-Viva Questions:

1) Define the grain size analysis and what is the silt size?
2) What is uniformity coefficient? What is the significance on computing the same?
3) What is the most basic classification of soil?
4) What are the methods of soil gradation or grain size distribution?
5) How to compute D10, D30 and D60 of soil using sieve analysis?
6) How to compute CC and Cu?
7) What is poorly graded, gap graded and well graded soil?

**Result:**

Uniformity coefficient, Cu=

Coefficient of curvature, Cc=
Percentage gravel =
Percentage sand =
Percentage silt =

Verification/Validations:
For the soil to be well graded the value of coefficient of uniformity Cu has to be greater than 4 and Cc should be in the range of 1 to 3. So higher the value of Cu the larger the range of the particle sizes in the soil. So if the Cu value is high it indicates that the soil mass consists of different ranges of particle sizes.

Conclusion:

The uniformity coefficient, Cu = ___ and Cc =_____.

The value comes in the range of well graded soil.

Post-Viva Questions:
1. Does grain distribution affect the voids ratio of the soil?
2. Could the quality of concrete enhanced by the grade of the sand?
3. If soil is uniformly graded, voids ratio is high or low?
4. Well graded aggregates require less cement or more?
5. What is the practical significance of Grain Size Distribution Analysis?
EXPERIMENT NO 8

DETERMINATION OF LIQUID LIMIT OF FINE SOIL

Aim:
To determine the liquid limit of fine soil by using Casagrande Apparatus

When water is added to dry soil, it changes its state of consistency from hard to soft. We can define liquid limit as the minimum water content at which the soil is still in the liquid state, but has a small shearing strength against flow. From test point of view we can define liquid limit as the minimum water content at which a pat of soil cut by a groove of standard dimension will flow together for a distance of 12 mm (1/2 inch) under an impact of 25 blows in the device.

Specifications:
This test is done to determine liquid limit of soil as per IS: 2720 (Part 5)-1985. After receiving the soil sample it is dried in air or in oven (maintained at a temperature of 60°C). If clods are there in soil sample then it is broken with the help of wooden mallet. The soil passing 425 micron sieve is used in this test.

Equipments Required:
a) A mechanical liquid limit apparatus (casagrande type) with grooving tools.
b) Evaporating dishes, wash bottle etc.
c) Balance accurate to 0.01 g.
d) Airtight container to determine water content.
e) Oven to maintain temperature at 105 °C to 110 °C.
f) Sieve (425 micron).
g) Spatula
h) Desiccator and other accessories.

Theory:
Consistency of fine-grained soils may be defined as the relative ease with which a soil can be remoulded. Consistency limits may be categorized into three limits called Atterberg limits.

They are 1) Liquid limit 2) Plastic limit and 3) Shrinkage limit

Liquid limit is the moisture content that defines where the soil changes from a plastic to a viscous fluid state. Other limits will be discussed during corresponding experiments.
Precautions:

- Soil used for liquid limit determination should not be oven dried prior to testing.
- In LL test the groove should be closed by the flow of soil and not by slippage between the soil and the cup.
- After mixing the water to the soil sample, sufficient time should be given to permeate the water throughout the soil mass.
- Wet soil taken in the container for moisture content determination should not be left open in the air, the container with soil sample should either be placed in desiccators or immediately be weighed.
- After performing each test the cup and grooving tool must be cleaned.
- The number of blows should be just enough to close the groove.
- The number of blows should be between 10 and 40.

Procedure:

a) A representative sample of mass of about 120 gm passing through 425 μ IS sieve is taken for the test. Mix the soil in an evaporating dish with distilled water to form a uniform paste.

b) Adjust the cup of the device so that the fall of the cup on to the hard rubber base is 10 mm.

c) Transfer the portion of the paste to the cup of liquid limit device. Allow some time for the soil to have uniform distribution of water.

d) Level the soil topsoil so that the maximum depth of soil is 12 mm. A channel of 11 mm wide at the top, 2 mm at the bottom and 8 mm deep is cut by the grooving tool. The grooving tool is held normal to the cup and the groove is cut through the sample along the symmetrical axis of the top.

e) The handle of the device is turned at a rate of about 2 revolutions per second and the number of blows necessary to close the groove along the bottom distance of 12 mm is counted. A sample of soil which closes the groove is collected.

f) The soil in the cup is re-mixed thoroughly (adding some more soil if required) some quantity of water which changes the consistency of soil, repeat the process. At least 4 tests should be conducted by adjusting the water contents of the soil in the cup in such a way that the number of blows required to close the groove may fall within the range of 5 to 40 blows. A plot of water content against the log of blows is made as shown in figure. The water content at 25 blows gives the liquid limit.
Table:

Table 8: Number of blows vs Water Content

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trial No</th>
<th>1 (w1)</th>
<th>2 (w2)</th>
<th>3 (w3)</th>
<th>4 (w4)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No of blows (N)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight of Container (W1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight of Container + Wet soil (W2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight of Container + dry soil (W3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water content (w = \frac{(W2-W3)}{(W3-W1)})</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water content, (w = \frac{(w1+w2+w3+w4)}{4})</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Graph:

A semi-log plot of Number of blows Vs water Content is drawn from the table data.

Pre-Viva Questions:

1) Define consistency of the soil. How is it measured?
2) What is liquid limit of soil?
3) What is the apparatus used to determine the liquid limit?
4) When a soil sample is given, what is the procedure to determine the liquid limit of the sample?

5) In a liquid limit test, the moisture content at 10 blows was 70% and that at 100 blows was 20%. The liquid limit of the soil, is?

Result:

From the graph drawn, flow index \( I_f = \frac{(w_1-w_2)}{\log (N_2/N_1)} = \quad \)

And Liquid Limit=---------%

Verification/Validation:

If the natural moisture content of soil is closer to liquid limit, the soil can be considered as soft if the moisture content is lesser than liquids limit, the soil is brittle and stiffer. Hence if the points on the graph are obtained scattered, we need to draw the linear curve at the mean. Flow index indicates the rate at which the soil looses shearing resistance with an increase in the water content.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soil Type</th>
<th>Liquid limit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sand</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silt</td>
<td>30-40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clay</td>
<td>40 -150</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conclusion:

As per the procedure the experiment is carried out. For 25 blows, water content is _____%.

Post-Viva Questions:

1. What is the purpose of computing liquid limit of the soil?

2. With the organic matter in the soil, will the liquid limit increase or decrease?
DETERMINATION OF PLASTIC LIMIT OF THE SOIL

Aim:

To determine plastic limit of the soil.

The plastic limit of fine-grained soil is the water content of the soil below which it ceases to be plastic. It begins to crumble when rolled into threads of 3mm dia.

Specifications:

This test is done to determine the plastic limit of soil as per IS: 2720 (Part 5) – 1985. Take out 30g of air-dried soil from a thoroughly mixed sample of the soil passing through 425µm IS Sieve. Mix the soil with distilled water in an evaporating dish and leave the soil mass for 24hrs.

Equipment Required:

a) Porcelain evaporating dish.
b) Flat glass plate.
c) Balance accurate to 0.01 g.
d) Drying oven, maintained at 110 ± 5°C (230 ± 9°F).
e) Weighing dishes, non-absorbent, with lids.
f) Flexible spatula, blade approximately 102 mm (4 in.) long × 19 mm (0.75 in.) wide.

Theory:

The plastic limit is the moisture content that defines where the soil changes from a semi-solid to a plastic state. It may also be defined as that water content at which soil starts crumbling when rolled into threads of 3mm diameter. Use the paste from liquid limit test and begin drying. May add dry soil or spread on plate and air dry.

Precautions:

1. Soil used for plastic limit determination should not be oven dried prior to testing.
2. After mixing the water to the soil sample, sufficient time should be given to permeate the water throughout out the soil mass.
3. Wet soil taken in the container for moisture content determination should not be left open. The container with soil sample should either be placed in desiccators or immediately be weighed.

**Procedure:**

a) Select a representative sample of fine-grained soil of about 20 g or more passing through 420 μ IS sieve. Mix it with distilled water thoroughly on a glass plate such that the palm of the soil can be rolled into a thread of 3 mm diameter. Allow some time for the proper distribution mixed with water.

b) Take about 10 g of this wet sail and roll it into a thread on a glass plate with the palm of the hand. The rolling must be such that it forms a uniform thread of 3 mm diameter. If the thread cracks before attaining 3 mm diameter, and add little more water, knead it and roll again. If the rolling can be done to diameter less than 3 mm, mix some dry soil, knead it to remove some extra moisture in the soil. This process has to continue till the sample crumbles just at about 3 mm diameter. Collect the crumbled soil (at least 6 g) and measure its water content.

c) Repeat the process to get at least three water content determination (after they have been in the oven at least 16 hours).

d) The average of water content so obtained is the plastic limit of the soil.

**Table:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trail No</th>
<th>1 (w1)</th>
<th>2 (w2)</th>
<th>3 (w3)</th>
<th>4 (w4)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weight of Container (W1), g</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight of Container+Wet soil (W2), g</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight of Container+dry soil (W3), g</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water content (w=(W2-W3)/(W3-W1)), g</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average water content, (w = (w1+w2+w3+w4)/4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Pre-Viva Questions:**
1) Define plastic limit of soil
2) How is plastic limit computed in laboratory?
3) What is the practical significance of determining plastic limit of the soil?
4) What is plasticity index?
5) What is toughness index?

Result:

The Plastic limit of soil (average water content) is ______________.

Plasticity index = \( w_p - w_l \)

Verification/Validations:

Determine the plasticity index \( I_p \), which is the difference between liquid limit and plastic limit. Following table list the standard values:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soil Type</th>
<th>( w_l )</th>
<th>( w_p )</th>
<th>( I_p )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Plastic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silt</td>
<td>30-40</td>
<td>20-25</td>
<td>10-15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clay</td>
<td>40-150</td>
<td>25-50</td>
<td>15-100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conclusion:

The plastic limit of the soil = ____ and plasticity index = ____

The type of soil is ____.

Post-Viva Questions:

1. What is meant by unified soil classification?
2. What is A-line and U-line?
3. What is liquidity index and consistency index?
DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGG.

EXPERIMENT NO 10

DATE: __________

DETERMINATION OF SHRINKAGE LIMIT

Aim:

To determine the shrinkage limit of the soil.

The value of shrinkage limit is used for understanding the swelling and shrinkage properties of cohesive soils. It is used for calculating the shrinkage factors which helps in the design problems of the structures made up of the soils or/and resting on soil. It gives an idea about the suitability of the soil as a construction material in foundations, roads, embankments and dams.

Specifications:

The test is specified in IS: 2720(Part 6)-1972. The 30 g soil passing 425 micron sieve is used for the test.

Equipments Required:

a) 2 numbers of porcelain evaporating dish, about 12 cm in diameter within a flat bottom.
b) 3 numbers of shrinkage dish made of non-corroding metal, having a flat bottom, 45 mm diameter and 15 mm high.
c) A glass cup of about 50 mm diameter and 25 mm high.
d) Two numbers glass plates of size 75×75 mm, one plate of plane glass and the other with three metal prongs.
e) Spatula balance accurate to 0.01 g, oven etc.
f) Mercury.
g) Desiccator and other accessories.

Theory:

As the soil loses moisture, either in its natural environment, or by artificial means in laboratory, it changes from liquid state to plastic state to semi-solid state and then to solid state. The volume is also reduced by the decrease in water content. But, at a particular limit the moisture reduction causes no further volume change. A shrinkage limit test gives a quantitative indication of how much moisture can change before any significant volume change and to also indication of change in volume. The shrinkage limit is useful in areas where soils undergo large volume changes when going through wet and dry cycles (e.g. earth dams).
Shrinkage limits are required to be determined on two types of soils, they are
i. Remoulded soil.
ii. Undisturbed soil.

Other shrinkage factors i.e. shrinkage ratio, volumetric shrinkage may also be calculated from the test data of shrinkage limit.

**Shrinkage ratio** is the ratio of a given volume change expressed as a percentage of dry volume to the corresponding change in water content above the shrinkage limit.

**Volumetric Shrinkage** is the decrease in volume of a soil mass when the water content is reduced from given percentage to the shrinkage limit and which is expressed as percentage of dry volume of the soil mass.

**Precautions:**

- **CAUTION : DO NOT TOUCH THE MERCURY WITH GOLD RINGS**
- The water content of the soil taken in shrinkage dish should be above liquid limit but within 10% from liquid limit.
- To prevent the cake from adhering to the shrinkage dish and consequent cracking of the dry soil paste, inside of the shrinkage dish should be greased with vaseline.
- During filling the shrinkage dish with soil paste, sufficient tapping should be done to remove the entrapped air.
- The dry soil paste should be weighed soon after it has been removed from a desiccators otherwise it picks up moisture from the air.
- Test should be repeated at least three times for each sample and the average of the results thus obtained reported.
- No air should be entrapped under the dry soil paste when pressing by the glass with prongs is being carried out.

**Procedure:**

a) Select a representative sample of soil of about 100 g passing through 425 μ sieve.

b) Take 30 g out of it and place the same in an evaporating dish. Mix it thoroughly with distilled water and make it into a thin paste for readily filling into a dish free from air bubbles.

**Determination of mass and volume of shrinkage dish:**

a) Take a shrinkage dish, clean it and find its mass correct to 0.1 gm (M3). =-----g
b) To determine its volume, place it in an evaporating dish. Fill the shrinkage dish with mercury till the excess overflows to the evaporating dish.

b) Coat the inside of the shrinkage dish with a thin layer of silicon grease or Vaseline. Place a part of the soil paste prepared earlier at the centre of the dish so that it may occupy about one-third of its volume.
d) Find the mass of the wet soil with the dish immediately after filling \( M_1 = \ldots \) g

e) Keep the dish with soil exposed to air until the colour of the pat turns from black to light.

f) Select a glass cup with a flat bottom and keep ion an evaporating dish. Fill the cup with mercury and remove the excess mercury by pressing the glass plate with three prongs firmly over the top of the cup.

g) Remove the split over the mercury, take out the glass plate with the prongs, place the dry soil pat on the surface of the mercury.

h) Force the soil pat into the mercury by pressing with the same glass plate with the prongs. Collect carefully the split over mercury and find its mass \( M_m = \ldots \) g

The volume of the dry soil pat \( V_d \) is

\[
V_d = \frac{M_m}{13.6}.
\]

Where, 13.6 is the density of mercury in g/cm\(^3\)

**Calculation of shrinkage limit, \( W_s \)**

Mass of wet soil = \( M_0 = (M_1 - M_3) \ldots \) g

Mass of dry soil = \( M_s = (M_2 - M_3) \ldots \) g

Volume of shrinkage dish = Volume of wet soil = \( V_o = \ldots \)

Volume of dry soil = \( V_d = \ldots \)

\[
V_d = \frac{M_m}{13.6} \text{ where, } 13.6 \text{ g/cm}^3 \text{ is the density of mercury.}
\]

\[\therefore \text{ Shrinkage limit, } W_s \text{ is} \]

\[
W_s = \left( \frac{(M_0 - M_s) - (V_o - V_d) \times \rho_w}{M_s} \right) \times 100
\]

Shrinkage ratio, \( S_r = \left( \frac{(V_o - V_d)}{V_o} \right) \times 100 \)

**Shrinkage limit of undistributed soil**

In this case \( G \) is known in advance. The equation to be used for determining \( W_s \) is

\[
W_s = \left[ \frac{(V_d/M_s) - (1/G)}{100} \right]
\]

For the undistributed soil we need to know only the volume of an undistributed dry pot of soil sample of diameter 45 mm and thickness 15 mm. Round off its edges to prevent the entrapment of air during mercury displacement. Air dry the sample initially
and then dry over the same. Find its mass (Ms) after cooling it in a desiccator, and then its volume Vd by mercury displacement method. Ws may now be found out by use of equation

$$Ws = [(V_d/M_s) - (1/G)] \times 100.$$ 

**Pre-Viva Questions:**

1. How to measure shrinkage limit of soil?
2. Why is mercury used to determine the shrinkage limit of soil?
3. What is the density of mercury?
4. What is the difference between undisturbed and remoulded soil?
5. Is the dry unit and unit weight of soil the same?
6. What is meant by Thixotropy?

**Result:**

The shrinkage limit $W_s =$-----------------

**Verification/Validations:**

Value of shrinkage limit varies from 13 to 26.

**Conclusion:**

The shrinkage limit of soil is ________.

**Post-Viva Question:**

1. What is shrinkage limit of soil?
2. If water content is increased above shrinkage limit, what is the effect?
3. Instead of Mercury can we use any other substance as mercury may cause health hazard.
EXPERIMENT NO 11

DATE: __________

DETERMINATION OF MAXIMUM DRY DENSITY AND OPTIMUM MOISTURE CONTENT BY STANDARD PROCTOR COMPACTION METHOD

Aim:

To determine moisture content – DRY DENSITY RELATIONSHIP BY STANDARD PROCTOR TEST.

Compaction is the application of mechanical energy to a soil so as to rearrange its particles and reduce the void ratio. It is applied to improve the properties of an existing soil or in the process of placing fill such as in the construction of embankments, road bases, runways, earth dams, and reinforced earth walls. Compaction is also used to prepare a level surface during construction of buildings. There is usually no change in the water content and in the size of the individual soil particles.

Specifications:

The experiment is conducted as per IS 2720-7(1980).

Equipment Required:

a) Typical equipment for proctor test is given in figure.
b) Other accessories are: Balance, oven, straight edge, sieves, metal hammer, etc.

Theory:

The objectives of compaction are:
a) To increase soil shear strength and therefore its bearing capacity.
b) To reduce subsequent settlement under working loads.
c) To reduce soil permeability making it more difficult for water to flow through.

To assess the degree of compaction, it is necessary to use the dry unit weight, which is an indicator of compactness of solid soil particles in a given volume. The laboratory testing is meant to establish the maximum dry density that can be attained for a given soil with a standard amount of compactive effort.

1. Bulk density \( \rho_t = (M_2 - M_1)/V \)
2. Dry density \( \rho_d = \rho_t/(1 + w) \)
3. Dry density $\rho_d$ for zero air voids line.

$$\rho_d = \frac{G \rho_w}{1 + (W/S)}$$

Where, $M_1 =$ mass of mould used for proctor test

$M_2 =$ mass of mould + compacted soil.

$M =$ mass of wet soil.

$V =$ volume of mould.

$\rho_w =$ density of water.

$G =$ Specific gravity of soils.

$W =$ water content.

$S =$ degree of saturation.

Precautions:

1. Thoroughly breakup the sample by running it through the screen before compacting it in the mould.
2. Pound within a moisture range from optimum to 4 percent below optimum. The closer to optimum the moisture content is, the more accurate the test will be.
3. Make sure the clamp on each mold section is tight.
4. Make sure the wing nuts on the base plate are secured with equal tension.
5. Place the mould on a solid block that is supported on firm soil or pavement.
6. Hold the rammer vertically so that it will fall freely.
7. Use exactly 25 blows on each layer.

Procedure

a) Select a representative soil sample of about 25 kg. The material used for the test must be finer than 20 mm sieve. Air-dry sample.

b) Add sufficient water to the sample (about 7 % for sandy soils and 10 % for clay soils), which will be less than the estimated optimum moisture content. Mix the soil thoroughly and keep this in an airtight container for a period of 20 hours.

c) Fix the mould to the base plate after cleaning its inside surface. Find the mass of the mould with the base plate ($M_1$).

d) Attach the extension collar to the mould.

e) Take about 2.5 kg of soil in an air tight container and compact it in the mould in three equal layers each layer is compacted by giving 25 blows by the hammer weighing 2.6 kg and dropping from a height of 310 mm. The compaction must be
uniform over the whole area, and a spatula scratches each layer before adding another layer. The filling must be such that the last layer projects into the collar by about 5 mm. After the completion of compaction, remove the collar and remove the excess soil with the help of a straight edge. Find the mass of the mould with the base plate and the soil (M2). Remove the soil from the mould by making use of an ejector and take a representative sample for water content determination.

f) Carry out the tests 3 to 4 times by repeating the steps from (e) onwards. Each time use a fresh soil sample.

Observations and tabulation:

The following observations were made on the compaction of a soil by standard proctor test. Six tests were carried out on the selected samples of soil by varying the water content. Water contents of samples of each test were found out by the procedure explained earlier.

The test results are tabulated below.

**Table 15: Weight of Soil for varying water content**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test No.</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mass of empty mould $M_1$, gm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume of mould, cm$^3$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass of mould + sample, $M_2$, gm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass of wet soil, M, gm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wet density, $\rho_t$ g/cm$^3$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water content, w%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry density, $\rho_d$, gm/cm$^3$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W1 for calculation of saturation line %</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho_d$, gm/cm$^3$ for $S=100%$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho_d$, gm/cm$^3$ for $S=80%$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** Plot dry density vs. moisture content and find out the max dry density and optimum moisture for the soil. Also, mark the 100 % and 80 % saturation lines.
Pre-Viva Questions:
1. What is the difference between standard proctor test and modified proctor test?
2. What is relative density of soil?
3. What is voids ratio? What is zero air voids line?
4. What is the practical implication of conducting standard proctor test?

Result:
Maximum dry density \( \rho_d = \) ____ g/cm3
Optimum moisture content, \( w = \) ___ %

Verification and Validations:
The peak point of the compaction curve - The peak point of the compaction curve is the point with the maximum dry density dry density. Corresponding to the maximum dry density \( \rho_{d\text{max}} \) is a water content known as the optimum water content. The optimum water content is the water content that results in the greatest density for a specified compactive effort. Compacting at water contents higher than the optimum water content results in a relatively dispersed soil structure (parallel particle orientations) that is weaker, more ductile, less pervious, softer, more susceptible to shrinking, and less susceptible to swelling than soil compacted dry of optimum to the same density.

Zero air voids curve:
The curve represents the fully saturated condition (\( S = 100 \% \)). (It cannot be reached by compact ion)

Conclusion:
The maximum density of the soil is _____ with an OMC of _______. This indicates, after \( w \% \), any additional water addition, there is no gain in strength of soil.

Post-Viva Question:
1. How is compaction different from consolidation?
2. Did you watch any civil engineering construction compaction is carried out? Explain.
3. Is there any other method other than standard proctor test to determine maximum density?
DETERMINATION OF PERMEABILITY OF A SOIL SAMPLE BY CONSTANT-HEAD METHOD

Aim:

To determine the permeability of soil by constant-head method.

The rate of flow under laminar flow conditions through a unit cross sectional area of porous medium under unit hydraulic gradient is defined as coefficient of permeability. Water flowing through soil exerts considerable seepage force which has direct effect on the safety of hydraulic structures. The rate of settlement of compressible clay layer under load depends on its permeability. The quantity of water escaping through and beneath the earthen dam depends on the permeability of the embankments and its foundations respectively. The rate of discharge through wells and excavated foundation pits depends on the coefficient of permeability of the soils. Shear strength of soils also depends indirectly on permeability of soil, as dissipation of pore pressure is controlled by its permeability.

Specifications:

IS 2720-17 (1986): Methods of test for soils, Part 17. This test is used to determine the permeability of granular soils like sands and gravels containing little or no silt.

Equipments Required:

1. Permeameter mould of non-corrodible material having a capacity of 1000 ml
2. The mould shall be fitted with a detachable base plate and removable extension counter.
3. Compacting equipment: 50 mm diameter circular face, weight 2.76 kg and height of fall 310 mm as specified in I.S 2720 part VII 1965.
4. Drainage bade: A bade with a porous disc, 12 mm thick which has the permeability 10 times the expected permeability of soil.
5. Drainage cap: A porous disc of 12 mm thick having a fitting for connection to water inlet or outlet.
6. Constant head tank: A suitable water reservoir capable of supplying water to the permeameter under constant head.
7. Graduated glass cylinder to receive the discharge.
8. Stop watch to note the time.
9. A meter scale to measure the head differences and length of specimen.

Theory:

The knowledge of this property is much useful in solving problems involving yield of water bearing strata, seepage through earthen dams, stability of earthen dams, and embankments of canal bank affected by seepage, settlement etc. Permeability of soil can be determined from Darcy's Law. The equation to determine the permeability of soil using constant head permeability test is given by:

\[ k = \frac{Q \times L}{A \times h \times t} \]

Where,  
\( k \) = coefficient of permeability  
\( Q \) = volume of water collected in time \( t \)  
\( h \) = head causing flow  
\( A \) = cross sectional area of sample  
\( L \) = length of sample

- A constant head permeameter shown schematically in the figure.
- For a typical setup the following dimensions are used
  i. Internal diameter of the mould = 100 mm.
  ii. Effective height of the mould = 127.3 mm.
  iii. Detachable collar: 100 mm diameter and 60 mm height.
  iv. Drainage base, having a porous disc.
- Weighing balance, and other accessories.

Precautions:

1. All possible leakage of joints must be eliminated.
2. Porous stones must be saturated before being put to use.
3. De-aired and distilled water should be used to prevent choking of flowing water.
4. Soil sample must be carefully saturated before taking the observations.
5. Use of high heads, which result in turbulent flows, should be avoided.

Procedure:

a) A constant-head test assembly is as given in below figure.
b) Select a representative soil mass of about 2.5 kg properly mixed.
c) Fill the soil into the mould and compact it to the required dry density by making use of a suitable compacting device.
d) Set the assembly as shown in figure after saturating the porous stones.
e) The water supply is properly adjusted to maintain constant head.
f) Open the valve and saturate the sample by allowing water to flow through for a sufficiently long time to remove all air-bubbles.
g) When the whole setup is ready for the test, open the valve, allow the water to flow through the sample collect water in a graduated jar starting simultaneously a stopwatch. Note the time to collect a certain quantity of water Q.
h) Repeat the test three times and determine the average of Q for the same time interval t.
i) Measure the head h, length of sample L, and calculate the cross sectional area A of the sample.
j) Calculate k by making use of equation

Observation:

Length of Soil sample L=--------cm

Diameter of Soil sample D=-------cm

Area of soil sample A=-----------

Constant head h=--------cm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl.No</th>
<th>Quantity of water Q=------ml</th>
<th>Time t=----sec</th>
<th>k=(QL)/(Ath) (cm/sec)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pre-Viva Questions:

1. What is Darcy’s law of flow velocity through soils? What are its Limitations?
2. What are the steady and unsteady flows of water? What type of flow is assumed to occur in soils?
3. What are the laboratory methods of determination of coefficient of permeability of soil? State their suitability.
4. What is the effect of entrapped air on the coefficient of permeability of soil?
5. Constant head method is suitable for what type of soils?
6. Soil with largest void ratio has lesser or more permeability?
7. Coefficient of permeability is also called as?

Result:
Coefficient of Permeability of soil \( k = \ldots \text{cm/sec} \)

Verification/Validation:
The table below gives rough values of the coefficient of permeability of various soils:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of soil</th>
<th>Value of permeability (cm/sec)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gravel</td>
<td>( 10^3 ) to 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sand</td>
<td>( 1.0 ) to ( 10^{-3} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silt</td>
<td>( 10^{-3} ) to ( 10^{-6} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clay</td>
<td>less than ( 10^{-3} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conclusion: The type of soil tested is ____ as the permeability falls in the range as shown in the above table.

Post-Viva Questions:
1. Will the permeability of soil cause seepage of water through earth dams?
2. In the above case, what can we do to reduce seepage?
3. What does Darcy’s law state?
FALLING HEAD PERMEABILITY TEST FOR FINE GRAINED SOILS

Aim:
To determine the coefficient of permeability of a given soil sample by Variable head permeability test.

The passage of water through porous material is called seepage. A material with continuous voids is called a permeable material. Hence permeability is a property of a porous material which permits passage of fluids through interconnecting conditions. Hence permeability is defined as the rate of flow of water under laminar conditions through a unit cross-sectional area perpendicular to the direction of flow through a porous medium under unit hydraulic gradient and under standard temperature conditions.

Specifications:
IS 2720-17 (1986): Methods of test for soils, Part 17. This test is used for fine grained soils with intermediate and low permeability such as silts and clays. This testing method can be applied to an undisturbed sample.

Equipments Required:
All the accessories are the same as the contant head test and the following:
1. Graduated glass stand pipe and the clamp
2. Supporting frame for the stand pipe and the clamp

Theory:
The falling head permeability test involves flow of water through a relatively short soil sample connected to a standpipe which provides the water head and also allows measuring the volume of water passing through the sample. The diameter of the standpipe depends on the permeability of the tested soil. The test is carried out in falling head permeameter cell.

Before starting the flow measurements, the soil sample is saturated and the standpipes are filled with de-aired water to a given level. The test then starts by allowing water to flow through the sample until the water in the standpipe reaches a given lower limit. The time required for the water in the standpipe to drop from the upper to the lower level is recorded. Often, the standpipe is refilled and the test is repeated for couple of times. The recorded time should be the same for each test within an allowable variation of about 10% (Head 1982) otherwise the test is failed.
The below equation can be used:

\[ k = \frac{(2.3 \times a \times L)}{(A \times (t2-t1))) \times \log10(h1/h2)} \]

Where, L = length of soil sample column

A = Sample cross-section

a = the cross-section of the standpipe

(t2-t1) = the recorded time for the water column to flow though the sample

h1 and h2 = the upper and lower water level in the standpipe measured using the same water head reference

Precautions:

1. All possible leakage of joints must be eliminated.
2. Porous stones must be saturated before being put to use.
3. De-aired and distilled water should be used to prevent choking of flowing water.
4. Soil sample must be carefully saturated before taking the observations.
5. Use of high heads, which result in turbulent flows, should be avoided.

Procedure:

a) Open the valves in the standpipe and the bottom outlet. Ensure that the soil sample is fully saturated with out any entrapping of air bubble before starting the test.

b) Fill the standpipe with water keeping the valves V1 and V2 open and allow the water to flow out through the outlet pipe for some time and then close the valves.

c) Select in advance the heights h1 and h2 for the water to fall and determine the height \( \sqrt{h1h2} \) and mark this height on the stand pipe.

d) Open the valves and fill the standpipe with water up to height h1 and start the stopwatch.

e) Record the time intervals for water to fall from height h1 to \( \sqrt{h1h2} \) and from \( \sqrt{h1h2} \) to h2. These two time intervals will be equal if a stead flow condition has been established.

f) Repeat the step (e) at least after changing the heights h1 and h2.

g) Stop the test and disconnect all the parts.

h) Take a small quantity of the sample for water content determination.
Tables:

Table 12 (a): Observations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Length of Soil sample L</td>
<td></td>
<td>cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diameter of Soil sample D</td>
<td></td>
<td>cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area of soil sample A</td>
<td></td>
<td>cm²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area of stand pipe a</td>
<td></td>
<td>cm²</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 12(b): Computation of coefficient of permeability

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl.No</th>
<th>Initial Head (h1) cm</th>
<th>Final Head (h2) cm</th>
<th>Time t In seconds</th>
<th>( k = \frac{(2.3 \times a \times L)}{(A \times t)} \times \log_{10}(h1/h2) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pre-Viva Questions:

1. A fully saturated soil is said to be in which phase?
2. Valid range of degree of saturation of soil in percentage is?
3. If the voids of a soil mass are full of air only, the soil is termed as?
4. Which method is the most suitable to determine the permeability of the clayey soil?
5. Is there any connection between permeability of soil and temperature? If temperature increases, will permeability increase?
6. In the case of natural deposits, permeability is higher parallel to stratification or perpendicular to stratification?

Result:

Coefficient of Permeability of soil \( k = \frac{\text{---}}{\text{cm/sec}} \)
Verification/Validations:

The coefficient of permeability of a soil describes how easily a liquid will move through a soil. It is also commonly referred to as the hydraulic conductivity of a soil. This factor can be affected by the viscosity, or thickness (fluidity) of a liquid and its density. The number can also be affected by the void size, or region of non-soil, void continuity, and soil particle shape and surface roughness. It is an important factor when determining the rate at which a fluid will actually flow through a particular type of soil.

Table 12(C): Typical permeability coefficients for different soils

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soil Type</th>
<th>Typical Permeability, k (cm/sec)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gravels and Coarse Sands</td>
<td>&gt; $10^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fine Sands</td>
<td>$10^{-1}$ to $10^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silty Sands</td>
<td>$10^{-3}$ to $10^{-5}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silts</td>
<td>$10^{-5}$ to $10^{-7}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clays</td>
<td>&lt; $10^{-7}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conclusion:

As per the value of coefficient of permeability (______________), type of soil from the above table is ____________.

Post-Viva Questions:

1. Why is variable head method required to find permeability?
2. What are the important steps in the experiment?
3. What is the time gap taken to take the readings?
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EXPERIMENT NO 14

DATE: __________

DETERMINATION OF LIQUID LIMIT BY SATIC CONE PENETROMETER

Aim:

To determine Liquid Limit by Satic Cone Penetrometer

The Liquid Limit is the moisture content at which the soil passes from the plastic to the liquid state as determined by the Cone Liquid Limit test

Specifications:

This test is conducted as per IS : 2720(Part 5)-1985- Methods of test for soils : Determination of liquid and plastic limit. After receiving the soil sample it is dried in air or in oven (maintained at a temperature of 600°C). If clods are there in soil sample then it is broken with the help of wooden mallet.

Equipment Required:

Static Cone Penetrometer

Theory:

The cone penetration test “CPT” is one of the most commonly used site investigation tools in the field of geotechnical engineering for the classification and characterization of soils. The liquid limit of the soil corresponds to the water content of a paste which would give 20 mm penetration of the soil.

Precautions:

1. Soil used for liquid limit determination should not be oven dried prior to testing.
2. After mixing the water to the soil sample, sufficient time should be given to permeate the water throughout out the soil mass
3. Wet soil taken in the container for moisture content determination should not be left open in the air, the container with soil sample should either be placed in desiccators or immediately be weighed.

Procedure:

1) Take 200 gm of soil, add 5 percentage water and make a paste
2) Mix the soil thoroughly and fix the mould in such a way that it should touch the surface 
3) Release the cone and note down the depth of the penetration. 
4) Repeat experiments with different values of water content 
5) Draw a graph of water content vs penetration. The one with 20 mm penetration would give the liquid limit of the soil.

Table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trial No</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Depth of penetration (mm)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight of Container (W1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight of Container + Wet soil (W2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight of Container + dry soil (W3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water content ( w=(W2-W3)/(W3-W1) )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pre-viva Questions:

1. Which is the preferable method casagrande method or cone penetrometer method in order to determine liquid limit? Why?
2. What type of soil could be tested using static cone penetrometer?
3. Is it a linear graph or semi-log one which is used in the case of cone penetrometer?
4. Is it possible to determine the plastic limit of soil using cone penetrometer?
5. What are the practical implications of conducting this test?

Result:

The liquid limit \( w_l = \% \)

Verification/Validations:

The liquid limit obtained using Cone Penetrometer is generally seen 2.2 \% points higher than casagrande apparatus. Do a comparison of the casagrande liquid limit with cone penetrometer liquid limit value.

Conclusion:

The liquid limit of the soil is _______.

Post-Viva Questions: (Refer page 36)
EXPERIMENT NO 15

DETERMINATION OF SHEAR PARAMETERS BY DIRECT SHEAR TEST

Aim:

To determine the shear strength of soil using the direct shear apparatus.

In many engineering problems such as design of foundation, retaining walls, slab bridges, pipes, sheet piling, the value of the angle of internal friction and cohesion of the soil involved are required for the design. Direct shear test is used to predict these parameters quickly. The laboratory report covers the laboratory procedures for determining these values for cohesion-less soils.

Specifications:

The test is conducted as per IS: 2720-13 (1986), method of tests for soils. One kg of air dry sample passing through 4.75mm IS sieve is required for this test.

Equipments Required:

Shear box apparatus consisting of

(a) Shear box 60 mm square and 50 mm deep,
(b) Grid plates, porous stones, etc.
(c) Loading device
(d) Other accessories.

Theory:

Box shear tests can be used for the following tests.

1. Quick and consolidated quick tests on clay soil samples.
2. Slow test on any type of soil.

Only using box shear test apparatus may carry the drained or slow shear tests on sand. As undisturbed samples of sand is not practicable to obtain, the box is filled with the sand obtained from the field and compacted to the required density and water content to stimulate field conditions as far as possible.
So far clay soil is concerned the undisturbed samples may be obtained from the field. The sample is cut to the required size and thickness of box shear test apparatus and introduced into the apparatus. The end surfaces are properly trimmed and leveled. If tests on remolded soils of clay samples are required; they are compacted in the mould to the required density and moisture content.

**Equation:**

Coulombs equation is used for computing the shear parameters.

For clay soils

\[ S = c + \sigma \tan \Phi \]

For sand

\[ S = \sigma \tan \Phi \]

Where, \( S \) = shear strength of soil in kg/cm\(^2\)

\( c \) = unit cohesion (kg/cm\(^2\))

\( \sigma \) = normal load applied on the surface of the specimen (kg/cm\(^2\))

\( \Phi \) = angle of shearing resistance (degrees)

In a Direct Shear test, the sample is sheared along a horizontal plane. This indicates that the failure plane is horizontal. The normal stress (\( s \)) on this plane is the external vertical load divided by the area of the soil sample. The shear stress at failure is the external lateral load divided by the corrected area of soil sample. The main advantage of direct shear apparatus is its simplicity and smoothness of operation and the rapidity with which testing programmes can be carried out. But this test has the disadvantage that lateral pressure and stresses on planes other than the plane of shear are not known during the test.

**Precautions:**

1. The dimensions of the shear box should be measured accurately.
2. Before allowing the sample to shear, the screw joining the two halves of the box should be taken out.
3. Rate of strain or shear displacement rate should be constant throughout the test.
4. The spacing screws after creating required spacing between two halves of the shear box, should be turned back to make them clear of the lower part.
5. For drained test, the porous stones should be saturated by boiling in water.
6. Add the self weight of the loading yoke in the vertical load.
7. Failure of the soil specimen is assumed when the proving ring dial gauge reading
begins to recede after reaching its maximum or at a 20% shear displacement of the specimen length.

8. One soil specimen should be tested with not more than three normal loading conditions as beyond this, the particle size of soil sample may change due to application of shear and normal load.

**Procedure:**

a) Place the sample of soil into the shear box, determine the water content and dry density of the soil compacted.

b) Make all the necessary adjustments for applying vertical load, for measuring vertical and lateral movements and measurement of shearing force, etc.

c) Apply a known load on the specimen and then keep it constant during the course of the test (for consolidation keep it for a long time without shearing, and quick tests apply the shearing without consolidation soon after placing the vertical load). Adjust the rate of strain as required of the specimen.

d) Shear the specimen till failure of the specimen is noticed or the shearing resistance decreases. Take the readings of the gauges during the shearing operation.

e) Remove the specimen from the box at the end of the test, and determine the final water content.

f) Repeat the tests on three or four identical specimens.

**Table:**

The test sample of cohesion less soil with a little cohesion is given in tabular form below.

(1) Soil density \( \rho d = 1.62 \) g/cm\(^3\)

Data sheet for sample 1: (for sample 2, 3, and 4 similar data sheets are to be prepared)

Initial area = \( A_0 = 6 \times 6 = 36 \) cm\(^2\). Initial thickness = 2.4 cm. \( \sigma = 0.5 \) kg/cm\(^2\)
Table 13: Data Sheet for Direct Shear Test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Horizontal dial gauge reading</th>
<th>Horizontal displacement (mm)</th>
<th>Corrected area (cm²)</th>
<th>Proving ring reading</th>
<th>Force (kg)</th>
<th>ζ (kg/cm²)</th>
<th>Vertical Dial reading</th>
<th>Vertical Displacement (mm)</th>
<th>Ht(cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Corrected area in cm² is given by b (b-horizontal displacement)

b = width of shear box = 6cm

From three samples the following results are obtained

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test No</th>
<th>Normal stress σ (kg/cm²)</th>
<th>Shear stress at failure ζ (kg/cm²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From the results a graph of Horizontal displacement VS Shear stress is drawn
Mohr’s circles are also plotted.

From Mohr’s circle the following details are obtained;

Major principal stress $\sigma_1=---------$ kg/cm$^2$

Minor principal stress $\sigma_2=---------$ kg/cm$^2$

Inclination to major principal stress $\theta_1=-------$ degrees

Inclination to minor principal stress $\theta_2=-------$ degrees

**Pre-Viva Questions:**

1. Why is shear strength of soil required?
2. Could we use direct shear test for sand and clay?
3. Is it at the predetermined plane the failure is happening in the direct shear test or naturally?
4. Is there any other apparatus using which we can determine the shear strength of soil? Name those.
5. Will this test give reliable undrained strength?

**Result:**

Angle of internal friction $\phi=-----$

Unit cohesion $C=--------$ kg/cm$^2$

**Verification and Validation:**
The angle of shearing resistance of sands depends on state of compaction, coarseness of grains, particle shape and roughness of grain surface and grading. It varies between 28° (uniformly graded sands with round grains in very loose state) to 46° (well graded sand with angular grains in dense state). The friction between sand particles is due to sliding and rolling friction and interlocking action.

**Conclusion:**
The given soil has angle of friction as _______, showing the type of sand as densely coarse.

**Post-Viva Questions:**
1. What are the initial adjustments required for the equipment?
2. What is the proving ring capacity in direct shear test?
3. What are the steps taken to get accurate result?
EXPERIMENT NO 16  

DATE: __________

UNCONFINED COMPRESSION TEST

Aim:

To determine the unconfined compression test of soil.

It is not always possible to conduct the bearing capacity test in the field. Sometimes it is cheaper to take the undisturbed soil sample and test its strength in the laboratory. Also to choose the best material for the embankment, one has to conduct strength tests on the samples selected. Under these conditions it is easy to perform the unconfined compression test on undisturbed and remoulded soil sample.

Specifications:

The test is conducted as per IS 2720-10 (1991): Methods of test for soils, Part 10: Determination of unconfined compressive strength [CED 43: Soil and Foundation Engineering]. The test is performed on a cylindrical sample with a height to diameter ratio of 2: 1. The sample is placed between the plates of a mechanical load frame without any covering or lateral support. Load and deformation readings are noted until the failure of the sample or a strain of 20%, whichever is smaller.

Equipment Required:

1. Loading frame of capacity of 2 t, with constant rate of movement. What is the least count of the dial gauge attached to the proving ring?
2. Proving ring of 0.01 kg sensitivity for soft soils; 0.05 kg for stiff soils.
3. Soil trimmer.
4. Frictionless end plates of 75 mm diameter (Perspex plate with silicon grease coating).
5. Evaporating dish (Aluminum container).
6. Soil sample of 75 mm length.
7. Dial gauge (0.01 mm accuracy).
8. Balance of capacity 200 g and sensitivity to weigh 0.01 g.
9. Oven, thermostatically controlled with interior of non-corroding material to maintain the temperature at the desired level. What is the range of the temperature used for drying the soil!
10. Sample extractor and split sampler.
11. Dial gauge (sensitivity 0.01mm).
Theory:

The unconfined compression test is by far the most popular method of soil shear testing because it is one of the fastest and cheapest methods of measuring shear strength. The method is used primarily for saturated, cohesive soils recovered from thin-walled sampling tubes. The unconfined compression test is in appropriate for dry sands or crumbly clays because the materials would fall apart without some level of lateral confinement.

In the unconfined compression test, we assume that no pore water is lost from the sample during set-up or during the shearing process. A saturated sample will thus remain saturated during the test with no change in the sample volume, water content, or void ratio. More significantly, the sample is held together by an effective confining stress that results from negative pore water pressures (generated by menisci forming between particles on the sample surface). Pore pressures are not measured in an unconfined compression test; consequently, the effective stress is unknown. Hence, the undrained shear strength measured in an unconfined test is expressed in terms of the total stress.

Precautions:

1. Both the ends of the sample are shaped so that it should sit properly on the bottom plate of the loading frame.
2. Rate of loading of the sample should be constant.

Procedure:

a) The sample is prepared in the same way as for a triaxial test. Its natural water content and dry density are determined prior to the testing. The length (Lo) and diameter (do) are also measured.

b) Set the sample on the pedestal of the equipment and complete all the necessary adjustments for applying on axial loads.

c) Apply the axial load at a strain of about 0.5 to 2 % per minute and continue the load till the sample fails OR the deformation reaches 20 % of axial strain.

d) Sketch the failure pattern and measure the angle of failure if possible.

e) Take a small sample of soil from the failure zone for water content determination.

Calculations:

a) The axial strain, \( \varepsilon\% = (\Delta L/Lo) \times 100 \)

   Where, \( \Delta L = \) change in length of specimen.

   \( Lo = \) Initial length of specimen.

b) Corrected area \( A \),

   \( A = Ao/(1-\varepsilon) \)
Where, \( A_0 \) = initial sectional area of the specimen.

c) Compressive stress, \( \sigma_1 \), (which is the principal stress) is \( \Delta \sigma_1 = \frac{P}{A} \) where \( P \) = axial load.

A plot of \( \sigma_1 \) versus \( \varepsilon \) gives the maximum stress, which is the unconfined compressive strength of the soil specimen.

**Observations and tabulation:**

Initial data available

a) \( D_0 = \) cm, \( L = \) cm, \( A_0 = \) cm²
b) Initial bulk density, \( \rho_t = \) gm/cm³
c) Initial water content, \( w = \) %

**Table 14: Data Sheet for Sample 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain dial reading</th>
<th>( \Delta L ) (mm)</th>
<th>Axial Strain %</th>
<th>Corrected area, ( A ) (cm²)</th>
<th>Proving ring reading (PR)</th>
<th>Axial Load ( P ) (kg)</th>
<th>Stress ( \sigma = \frac{P}{A} ) (kg/cm²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** Plot a graph of Compressive stress as ordinate and Axial Strain as abscissa.

**Pre-Viva Questions:**

1. What is the difference between unconfined compression test and triaxial test?
2. What is meant by unconfined compression strength of soil?
3. Plot roughly the Mohr circle for Unconfined Compressive Strength of soil.
4. Explain the procedure to determine the Unconfined compressive strength of soil.
5. How is sensitivity determined?

**Result:**

Average unconfined compressive stress $q_u = \ldots \text{kg/cm}^2$

Angle of internal friction

Undrained cohesive strength

**Verification/Validations:**

Minimum three samples should be tested, correlation can be made between unconfined strength and field SPT value $N$ practically. Upto 6% strain the readings may be taken at every min (30 sec).

**Conclusion:**

Unconfined compressive strength, $q_u = \ldots$.

Shear strength, $S = q_u/2 = \ldots$.

**Post-Viva Questions:**

1. Why we need Mohr’s circle for this experiment?

2. Is there any stress which cannot be determined in the case of UCC?

3. Could the drainage condition be handled in UCC?
EXPERIMENT NO 17

DATE: __________

VANE SHEAR TEST

Aim:

To determine Cohesion or Shear Strength of Soil.

The structural strength of soil is basically a problem of shear strength. Vane shear test is a useful method of measuring the shear strength of clay. It is a cheaper and quicker method. The test can also be conducted in the laboratory. The laboratory vane shear test for the measurement of shear strength of cohesive soils, is useful for soils of low shear strength (less than 0.3 kg/cm²) for which triaxial or unconfined tests cannot be performed. The test gives the undrained strength of the soil. The undisturbed and remoulded strength obtained are useful for evaluating the sensitivity of soil.

Specifications:

The test is conducted as per IS 4434 (1978). This test is useful when the soil is soft and its water content is nearer to liquid limit.

Equipment Required:

1. Vane shear test apparatus with accessories
2. The soil sample

Theory:

The vane shear test apparatus consists of four stainless steel blades fixed at right angle to each other and firmly attached to a high tensile steel rod. The length of the vane is usually kept equal to twice its overall width. The diameters and length of the stainless steel rod were limited to 2.5mm and 60mm respectively. At this time, the soil fails in shear on a cylindrical surface around the vane. The rotation is usually continued after shearing and the torque is measured to estimate the remoulded shear strength. Vane shear test can be used as a reliable in-situ test for determining the shear strength of soft-sensitive clays. The vane may be regarded as a method to be used under the following conditions.

1. Where the clay is deep, normally consolidated and sensitive.
2. Where only the undrained shear strength is required.
It has been found that the vane gives results similar to that as obtained from unconfined compression tests on undisturbed samples.

**Procedure:**

1. A posthole borer is first employed to bore a hole up to a point just above the required depth
2. The rod is pushed or driven carefully until the vanes are embedded at the required depth.
3. At the other end of the rod just above the surface of the ground a torsion head is used to apply a horizontal torque and this is applied at a uniform speed of about 0.1 degree per second until the soil fails, thus generating a cylinder of soil
4. The area consists of the peripheral surface of the cylinder and the two round ends.
5. The first moment of these areas divided by the applied moment gives the unit shear value.

**Observations:**

Force observed \( P = \quad \) kg
Eccentricity (lever arm) \( x = \quad \) cm
Turning moment \( P_x = \quad \) kg-cm
Length of the vane \( L = \quad \) cm
Radius of the vane blades \( r = \quad \) cm

**Calculations:**

Undrained Shear strength of Clay \( C_u = \frac{P_x}{(2\pi r^2 (L + 2/3r))} \)

**Pre-Viva Questions:**

1. Is this method the direct method to determine the shear strength of soil?
2. Is it possible to determine the sensitivity of clay suing this method?
3. What is meant by sensitivity of clay?
4. What are the advantages of vane shear test?
5. What are the disadvantages of vane shear test?

**Result:**

Undrained Shear strength of Clay $Cu=\text{----------} \text{kg/cm}^2$

**Verification/Validations:**

Where the strength is greater than that able to be measured by the vane, i.e., the pointer reaches the maximum value on the dial without the soil shearing, the result shall be reported in either of the following two ways e.g 195 + kPa or > 195 kPa.

**Conclusions:**

The vane shear strength of soil is ____________.

**Post-Viva Questions:**

1. Is this experiment an easy one?
2. What is the equation used to find the shear strength?
3. How is the torque applied to the sample?
EXPERIMENT NO 18

DATE: __________

DEMONSTRATION OF MISCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENTS

Aim:

Demonstration of miscellaneous equipments such as Augers, Samplers, Rapid Moisture meter, Proctor's needle

Demonstration of Hydrometer Test

Demonstration of Free Swell Index and Swell Pressure Test

Demonstration of determination of relative density of sands

Discussion and Comments:

Augers: The rotation of the blade causes the material to move out of the hole being drilled. An auger used for digging post holes is called an 'earth auger', 'handheld power earth drill', 'soil auger', or 'mechanized post hole digger'.

Samplers: Soil samples are taken using a variety of samplers; some provide only disturbed samples, while others can provide relatively undisturbed samples
Rapid Moisture Meter: The purpose of this test is to determine the moisture content of soil quickly, without having to wait for the moisture to evaporate.

Proctor's needle: Proctor needles are used for quick evaluation of maximum soil density in the field. Standard Compaction curves showing moisture contents versus densities are drawn in laboratory using standard compaction method and penetration of the proctor needles are correlated. Proctor needles are also known as Proctor Penetrometers.

Hydrometer: A hydrometer is an instrument that measures the specific gravity (relative density) of liquids—the ratio of the density of the liquid to the density of water. A hydrometer is usually made of glass, and consists of a cylindrical stem and a bulb weighted with mercury or lead shot to make it float upright.
Swell Index: To determine the free swell index of soil as per IS: 2720 (Part XL) – 1977. Free swell or differential free swell, also termed as free swell index, is the increase in volume of soil without any external constraint when subjected to submergence in water.

Relative density of sands: To determine the relative density of given coarse grained material. Relative density or density index is the ratio of the difference between the void ratios of a cohesionless soil in its loosest state and existing natural state to the difference between its void ratio in the loosest and densest states.